UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC and the Estate of Bernard L. Madoff,

Plaintiff,

v.

FAIRFIELD INVESTMENT FUND LIMITED, et al.,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

Adv. Pro. No. 09-01239 (SMB)

ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULES 2002 AND 9019(a) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE APPROVING AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE TRUSTEE AND THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

Upon the motion (the "Motion") of Irving H. Picard (the "Trustee"), as trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa-*lll*, and the substantively consolidated estate of Bernard L. Madoff, seeking entry of an order, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Rules 2002 and 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, approving the agreements, between the Trustee and (1) Lourdes Barreneche; (2) Robert Blum; (3) Cornelis Boele; (4) Gregory Bowes; (5) Harold Greisman; (6) Jacqueline Harary,

(7) Richard Landsberger; (8) Daniel Lipton; (9) Mark McKeefry; (10) Gordon McKenzie; (11) Santiago Reyes; and (12) Andrew Smith (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"), in substantially the form annexed to the Motion (the "Agreements"); and it appearing that due and sufficient notice has been given to all parties in interest as required by Rules 2002 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; and the Court having considered the Affidavit of the Trustee in support of the Motion; and it further appearing that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion established just cause for the relief requested; and the Trustee having filed a certificate of no objection representing that no objection has been received and that no party has indicated to the Trustee that it intends to oppose the relief requested in the Motion; and it further appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and after due deliberation; and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is

ORDERED, that the Agreements between the Trustee and the Individual Defendants are hereby approved and authorized;

ORDERED, that the Trustee and the Individual Defendants shall each comply with and carry out the terms of the Agreements;

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order; and it is further

ORDERED, that nothing in the Agreements shall interfere with, or deprive, the Trustee and/or any third-party litigants of their rights with respect to any third-party litigations derived from the transfers underlying the Agreement.

Dated: New York, New York

March 25, 2019

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN
HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE